

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Docket No. 2493)

In re Application of:)
Thenthiruperaei et al.) Group Art Unit: 2617
Serial No.: 10/734,700) Examiner: Iqbal, Khawar
Filed: December 11, 2003)
For: Method and System for Conveying)
Location-Granularity Preferences with)
Location-Based Service Requests)

Mailstop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY MAILED JANUARY 29, 2009

Dear Sir:

In response to the Interview Summary mailed January 29, 2009, Applicant provides the following comments. Applicant discussed with the Examiner the rejections presented within the Final Office Action mailed August 18, 2008 and the Advisory Action mailed November 26, 2008. Applicant requested the Examiner to reconsider the arguments presented in Applicant's response mailed November 12, 2008 that responded to the pending claim rejections.

The Examiner indicated that the arguments presented in Applicant's response mailed November 12, 2008 were persuasive and that the Final Office Action mailed August 18, 2008 and the Advisory Action mailed November 26, 2008 were vacated and withdrawn. The Examiner further indicated that he would review the case and that a new action from the Patent Office would be forthcoming.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 5, 2009

By: /Joseph A. Herndon/
Joseph A. Herndon
Reg. No. 50,469